

ENVIRONMENT & POLICY

# Integrated Governance and Water Basin Management

Conditions for Regime Change and  
Sustainability

*Edited by*

Hans Bressers and Stefan Kuks



Kluwer Academic Publishers

# Contents

	<b>Preface</b>	<b>xi</b>
	<b>List of contributors</b>	<b>xiii</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>Governance of water resources</b>	<b>1</b>
	Introduction <i>Hans Bressers and Stefan Kuks</i>	
1.1	Resource access, rivalries and property domains	2
1.2	Analysis of institutional regimes and regime evolution	3
1.3	Institutional criteria for sustainable water management	7
1.3.1	Criteria from the EU Water Framework Directive	7
1.3.2	Ecological sustainability based on good status criteria	8
1.3.3	Institutional sustainability based on extent and coherence as criteria	10
1.4	The case studies on water basins in this book	15
<b>2</b>	<b>Institutional resource regimes and sustainability</b>	<b>23</b>
	Theoretical backgrounds and hypotheses <i>Hans Bressers, Doris Fuchs and Stefan Kuks</i>	
2.1	Introduction	23
2.2	The public governance and property rights components	25
2.2.1	Public governance	25
2.2.2	Property and use rights	29
2.3	Change toward integrated resource regimes	33
2.4	The sustainability implications of institutional resource regimes	42
2.4.1	Sustainability and the property rights component	43
2.4.2	Sustainability and the public governance component	45
2.4.3	Sustainability and the combination of both components	47
2.5	Overview of hypotheses	49
2.6	Case study design	50
2.6.1	Selection of cases	50
2.6.2	Case study protocol	52
2.6.3	From case studies to comparative analysis	53

<b>3</b>	<b>Harboring water in a crowded European delta</b>	<b>59</b>
	The IJsselmeer and the Regge in the Netherlands	
	<i>Dave Huitema and Stefan Kuks</i>	
3.1	Introduction	59
3.2	Transitions towards integration in the national water regime	61
3.2.1	The 1968 and 1969 transition	61
3.2.2	The 1985 transition	63
3.2.3	The 1995 transition	65
3.3	The IJsselmeer: nurturing nature in an artificial lake	67
3.3.1	The end of the polder plans. Coming to grips with the new situation	68
3.3.2	Gas drilling and drinking water exploitation	71
3.3.3	The rivalry between nature and recreation	73
3.4	The Regge: undoing water management of the past	76
3.4.1	The first broadening of the water regime in the Regge basin	78
3.4.2	Bornsebeken plan: a change of paradigm	79
3.4.3	Influence: a new way of working	82
3.5	What do the cases illustrate for the Netherlands?	82
3.5.1	Regime changes in terms of extent	83
3.5.2	Regime changes in terms of internal coherence of public governance	84
3.5.3	Regime changes in terms of internal coherence of property rights	87
3.5.4	Regime changes in terms of external coherence between public governance and property rights	88
3.5.5	Implications for sustainability	89
3.5.6	Agents for regime change	90
3.5.7	Conditions for regime change	92
3.6	Conclusion	94
<b>4</b>	<b>Diverging regimes within a recently federalised state</b>	<b>99</b>
	The Vesdre and the Dender in Belgium	
	<i>David Aubin and Frédéric Varone</i>	
4.1	Introduction	99
4.2	Deepening and divergence of the regional water regimes	100
4.2.1	Protection of drinking water sources and ecosystems in Flanders	101
4.2.2	Preservation of water quality in Wallonia	102
4.2.3	Towards cross-regional regimes?	103
4.3	The Vesdre river basin in Wallonia	104
4.3.1	Description of the basin	104
4.3.2	Lead-poisoning in Verviers	106
4.3.3	Chronic floods in the lower Vesdre	108
4.3.4	Remaining pollution problems	109

4.3.5	Mineral water production and the environment in Wayai	110
4.3.6	Successful resolution of rivalries	111
4.4	The Dender river basin in Flanders	112
4.4.1	Presentation of the uses in the Dender basin	112
4.4.2	Setting up nature reserves	113
4.4.3	Flood protection along the river Dender	115
4.4.4	Ineffectiveness of wastewater treatment in rural areas	116
4.4.5	Production of drinking water on the Mark	118
4.4.6	Mitigated success in the resolution of rivalries	120
4.5	Comparative analysis	120
4.5.1	Conditions for the resolution of rivalries	121
4.5.2	Conditions for sustainable water use	124
4.6	Conclusion	128
<b>5</b>	<b>An innovative but uncompleted integration process</b>	<b>131</b>
	The Audomarois and the Sèvre Nantaise in France	
	<i>Jean-Marc Dziedzicki and Corinne Larrue</i>	
5.1	Introduction	131
5.2	The French national water regime	132
5.3	The Audomarois basin	136
5.3.1	The Audomarois drainage basin and the current situation	136
5.3.2	Management of conflict between industry and flora & fauna	139
5.3.3	Management of conflict between navigation and market gardening	141
5.3.4	Management of conflict between agriculture & market gardening and flora & fauna	143
5.3.5	Management of conflict between drinking & industrial water withdrawals and flora & fauna	145
5.3.6	On the way to an integrated regime in Audomarois basin?	148
5.4	The Sèvre Nantaise basin	149
5.4.1	The Sèvre Nantaise drainage basin and main water uses	149
5.4.2	The emergence of user conflicts after World War II	151
5.4.3	The foundations for a water management policy in the 1970s	152
5.4.4	Studies in the 1980s recommend more coordination and water works	153
5.4.5	Towards greater coordination in water management policy in the 1990s	156
5.4.6	Factors framing the implementation of an integrated regime in Sèvre Nantaise	157
5.5	Learning from the French cases	160
5.6	Conclusion	163

<b>6</b>	<b>Redistributing water uses and living with scarcity</b>	<b>165</b>
	The Matarraña and the Mula in Spain <i>Meritxell Costejà, Nuria Font and Joan Subirats</i>	
6.1	Introduction	165
6.2	National context	166
6.3	The Matarraña river basin	166
6.3.1	Regulative system and <i>de facto</i> use rights	169
6.3.2	The process of regime change	171
6.3.3	Property rights and governance changes	175
6.4	The Mula river basin	177
6.4.1	Property rights and <i>de facto</i> use rights	178
6.4.2	The process of regime change	179
6.4.3	Property rights and governance changes	182
6.5	The two cases in a broader context	184
6.6	Conclusions	185
<b>7</b>	<b>Competing integration principles in a decentralising state</b>	<b>189</b>
	The Chiese and the Marecchia in Italy <i>Bruno Dente and Alessandra Gorla</i>	
7.1	Introduction	189
7.2	Too many competing integration principles?	191
7.3	The Idro lake and the Chiese river basin	196
7.4	The Marecchia-Conca river basin	201
7.5	Regime change, integration and sustainability	207
7.6	Conclusion	210
<b>8</b>	<b>Rivalry based communities in Europe's water tower</b>	<b>213</b>
	The Valmaggia and the Seetal Valley in Switzerland <i>Corine Mauch and Peter Knoepfel</i>	
8.1	Introduction	213
8.2	Three branches of Swiss water policy: substantial integration and persisting institutional fragmentation	214
8.3	Inventing quantitative water protection: the Valmaggia valley	218
8.3.1	Water uses in a southern alpine valley	218
8.3.2	Three main fields of rivalry	220
8.3.3	Regime change in the Valmaggia	224
8.3.4	Conclusions for the Valmaggia water basin	225
8.4	Fighting against water pollution: the Seetal valley	226
8.4.1	Water uses in a lake valley in the central plateau	226
8.4.2	Three main fields of rivalry	228
8.4.3	Regime change in the Seetal valley	233
8.4.4	Conclusions for the Seetal water basin	235
8.5	Analysis of the Valmaggia and the Seetal valley cases in their context	236

8.5.1	Comparison of the Valmaggia and the Seetal cases	237
8.5.2	Evolution of the national determinants and of the regional institutional regimes: extent and coherence	239
8.6	Conclusions: 'problem basin approach' in Switzerland	241
8.6.1	Interaction between the public policy and the property rights system	241
8.6.2	Institutional arrangements along rivalrous issues	242
8.6.3	Possible explanations	244
<b>9</b>	<b>Integrated governance and water basin management</b>	<b>247</b>
	Comparative analysis and conclusions	
	<i>Hans Bressers and Stefan Kuks</i>	
9.1	Introduction	247
9.2	Regime change	247
9.3	Implications of regime changes for sustainable use	251
9.4	Explaining regime changes by change agents and conditions	256
9.5	Outlook: our conclusions in the perspective of the European water management policy	262