

This document has been supplied by, or on behalf of, The British Library Document Supply Centre Boston Spa, Wetherby, West Yorkshire LS23 7BQ UNITED KINGDOM

THE

BRITISH LIBRARY

The British Library Document Supply Centre
Boston Spa, Wetherby, West Yorkshire LS23 7BQ
UNITED KINGDOM

WARNING: Further copying of this document
(including storage in any medium by electronic
means), other than that allowed under the copyright
law, is not permitted without the permission of the
copyright owner or an authorised licensing body.

decrease compared with the other 2 breeds. However, it was not possible to dissociate breed traits from production level. In further studies it would be interesting to assess the effect of body size, mass and production level, on the walking ability of these cattle breeds, and to determine their ability to sustain long and repeated effort, as they have to on Alpine pastures.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

We thank E Albaret and A Mante for sampling and measurements and R Lefaivre for biochemical analysis of the blood.

#### REFERENCES

- Anderson DM, Kothmann MM (1977) Monitoring animal travel with digital pedometers. *J Range Manage* 30, 316-317
- Anderson DM, Urghart NS (1986) Using digital pedometers to monitor travel of cows grazing arid rangeland. Appl Anim Behav Sci 16,11-23
- Arnold GW, Dudzinski ML (1978) Ethology of Free-Ranging Domestic Animals (Elsevier ed). Amsterdam, The Netherlands
- Bergmeyer HU, Bernt E, Scmith F, Stork H (1974) D-Glucose determination with hexokinase and glucose-6-phosphate-deshydrogenase. In: Methods of Enzymatic Analysis (HU Bergmeyer, ed) Vol 3, 1196. Academic Press, London
- Bibé B, Vissac B (1979) Amélioration génétique et utilisation du territoire. In: Utilisation par les ruminants des pâturages d'altitude et parcours méditerranéens (INRA Publication, ed) Versailles, France
- Boivin X, Le Neindre P, Chupin JM, Garel JP, Trillat G (1992) Influence of breed and early management on ease of handling and open field behaviour of cattle. Appl Anim Behav Sci 32, 313-323
- Chilliard Y, Bauchart D, Barnouin J (1984) Determination of plasma non-esterified fatty acids in herbivores

- and man: a comparison of values obtained by manual or automatic chromatographic, titrimetric, colorimetric and enzymatic methods. *Reprod Nutr Dev* 24, 469-482
- Forbes TDA (1988) Researching the plant animal interface: the investigation of ingestive behavior in grazing animals. *J Anim Sci* 66, 2369-2379
- Funston RN, Kress DD, Havstad KM, Doornbos DE (1991) Grazing behavior of rangeland beef cows differing in biological types. *J Anim Sci* 69, 1435-1422
- Grappin R, Jeunet R (1976) Essais de l'appareil Milko-Scan 300 utilisé pour le dosage en série de la matière grasse et des protéines du lait. Lait 56, 498-520
- Journet M, Chilliard Y (1985) Influence de l'alimentation sur la composition du lait. 1. Taux butyreux : facteurs généraux. Bull Techn CRZV Theix, INRA 60, 13-23
- Lathrop WJ, Kress DD, Havstad KM, Doombos DE, Ayers EL (1988) Grazing behaviour of rangeland beef cows differing in milk production. Appl Anim Behav Sci 21, 315-327
- Lawrence PR, Stibbards RJ (1990) The energy cost of walking, carrying and pulling loads on flat surfaces by brahman cattle and swamp buffalo. *Anim Prod* 50, 29-39
- Mathewman RW, Merrit J, Smith AJ, Phillips P, Oldham JD (1989) Effects of exercise on lactational performance in cattle. *Proc Nutr Soc*, 92A
- Noll F (1974) L+-Lactate determination with LDH, GPT and NAD. In: Methods of Enzymatic Analysis (HU Bergmeyer, ed), Academic Press, London, Vol 3, 1475
- Osuji PO (1974) The physiology of eating and the energy expenditure of the ruminant at pasture. J Range Manage 27, 437-443
- Pearson RA, Archibald RF (1989) Biochemical and haematological changes associated with short periods of work in draught oxen. *Anim Prod* 48, 375-384
- Ribeiro JM, Brockway JM, Webster AJF (1977) A note on the energy cost of walking in cattle. *Anim Prod* 25, 107-110
- SAS (1987) SAS STAT User's Guide, Release 6.03 Edition, Cary, NC, USA
- Squires VR, Wilson AD, Daws GT (1972) Comparisons of the walking activity of some Australian sheep. Proc Aust Soc Anim Prod 9, 376-380
- Stobbs TH, Brett DJ (1974) Milk yield and composition of milk and blood as indicators of energy intake by Jersey cows. Aust J Agric Res 25, 657-666

Note

# Comparison of the intake and digestibility of different diets in llamas and sheep: a preliminary study

JP Dulphy, C Dardillat, M Jailler, JP Jouany

INRA, Station de Recherches sur la Nutrition des Herbivores, Theix, F 63122 Saint-Genès-Champanelle, France

(Received 8 June 1993; accepted 28 December 1993)

Summary — Three diets (hay, straw with soya cake with or without barley) were fed to 3 llamas and 3 sheep. The 2 species ingested the same quantity of hay, but llamas ingested 14% more straw (in g/kg LW). OM digestibility of straw was higher in llamas (+ 3.8 points). Mean retention time of DM was higher in llamas (32 h against 25 h for sheep). Reticulo rumen pH was more stable in llamas and also higher than in sheep. In conclusion the ability of llamas to use low quality roughage seems to be more efficient than that of sheep.

intake / digestibility / roughage / sheep / llama

Résumé —Comparaison de l'ingestibilité et de la digestibilité d'un foin et d'une paille par des lamas et des moutons. Étude préliminaire. Les quantités de MS ingérées de 3 rations (un foin, une paille + tourteau de soja sans ou avec orge) et la digestibilité des 2 rations à base de paille ont été comparées chez des lamas et des moutons munis de fistules du rumen. Des mesures complémentaires ont été faites pour caractériser les conditions de la digestion des rations étudiées dans les réservoirs prégastriques. Par rapport à leur poids vif, lamas et moutons ont ingéré la même quantité de foin (17,4 g/kg PV), mais les lamas ont ingéré 14% de paille en plus (13,2 g vs 11,6 g/kg PV). L'apport d'orge n'a eu aucun effet sur les quantités de paille ingérées. La digestibilité de la MO de la paille a été supérieure de 3,8 points chez les lamas (+ 4,4 points pour les parois végétales). Après le repas principal du matin, et rapportée au poids vif des animaux, la quantité de contenu frais des compartiments 1 et 2 des lamas a été très légèrement plus élevée de 5% que celle du réticulo-rumen des moutons, mais supérieure de 34% avant (respectivement + 6 et + 42% pour les contenus secs). Dans ces conditions le temps de séjour moyen de la MS dans ces réservoirs gastriques a été de 32 h chez les lamas vs 25 chez les moutons. Le pH des contenus stomacaux est beaucoup plus stable et plus élevé chez les lamas que chez les moutons. Cet essai confirme la bonne aptitude des lamas à utiliser des fourrages pauvres et apporte quelques éléments d'explication. Des études plus approfondies sont cependant nécessaires.

ingestion / digestibilité / fourrage / ovins / lamas

#### INTRODUCTION

The nutritional value of a forage for ruminants is determined by 2 factors, intake and digestibility. Very few comparative studies of nutritional value of forage have been performed in Ilamas and sheep. Three reports concern intake: one by Warmington et al (1989) with rye-grass straw; one by Cordesse et al (1992) with lucerne hay and ammonia-treated straw; and one by Dulphy et al (1994) with hay. For these 4 forages the overall intake in llamas was 16 g dry matter (DM)/kg live weight (LW), compared with 21 g in sheep, ie 49 and 59 g/kg body weight (W)0.75 respectively. Three reports concern digestibility: Hintz et al (1973), and above-cited works of Warmington et al (1989) and Cordesse et al (1992). The average organic matter (OM) digestibility of the 5 forages studied was 64.3% in the llamas and 61.0% in sheep.

Because of their uncommon physiological characteristics (Engelhardt and Höller, 1982) there is growing interest in the study of camelids. However, little has been published on quantitative aspects. It was therefore decided to perform a trial comparing 3 diets, distributed simultaneously to llamas and sheep. This trial was a continuation of the studies performed by Kayouli *et al* (1993a) and Dardillat *et al* (1994) on the ruminal conditions in llamas, which are more efficient in digesting plant cell walls than sheep.

Table I. Characteristics of the feed used .

MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Animals

Three Ilamas and 3 sheep were used. The animals were 4-year-old castrated males. The average LW of Ilamas, 98 kg (90–106 kg), was higher than that of sheep, 71 kg (69–74 kg). All animals had been fitted with a rumen fistula with a diameter of 90 and 75 mm, respectively, since the age of 2 years.

#### Feed

Three diets were studied: A – hay from natural grassland; B – wheat straw with addition of 150 g soya cake (SC) and 20 g mineral mixture; and C – the same straw and same supplements with 350 g of ground and pelleted barley. Table I shows the characteristics of the diets.

### Experimental design

The experimental design for each species was a latin square: 3 diets, 3 animals, 3 periods of 5 weeks each. The forages were distributed in a single meal, with refusal being fixed at approximately 20% of the daily intake. The forage was distributed at 9 am, 30 min after the distribution of the feed supplements (SC, minerals and barley). The trial took place from October to December 1992, and the animals were in 2 climatised rooms. Two llamas developed digestive troubles after 3 weeks. These troubles occurred after the main measurements and had few repercussions, but it was impossible to record feed intake behaviour of the 2 sick animals.

	Hay	Straw	Soya cake	Barley
		200	005	896
OM content (g/kg)	886	889	895	
Ash (g/kg DM)	77	120	77	58
Crude protein a (g/kg DM)	94	58	518	115
Cell-wall constituents (g/kg [	1100-110	769	115	167

a Crude protein: N x 6.25.

#### Measurements

The forage offered and refused was weighed daily. The digestibilities of diets B and C were determined from total faeces collected in metabolism crates over a period of 6 d in the third week of each period.

During the next 1-week period, the reticulorumen of the sheep and compartments 1 and 2 of the llamas were manually emptied twice, once before diet distribution and once 150 min after distribution. The digesta were weighed, sampled and returned to the stomach. A 3-day interval was respected between the both emptyings.

In addition, water intake (for a total of 17 d per animal per period) and the feed intake activities of the Ilamas (3 d per animal per period) were recorded (Ruckebusch, 1963). This was not done for sheep.

## Chemical analyses and statistical calculations

The methods used for the analyses of dietary and digestive content components have been described previously (Chiofalo et al, 1992). Standard deviation and comparisons between means were calculated with the SAS package (1985). For each parameter (except digestibility) a total of 18 results was used. The effect of period (2 df), and that of individuals (5 df) were determined to keep that of species (1 df). A possible effect of experimental room was included in the error term of the model used.

#### RESULTS

#### DM intake

There were no refusals for soya cake, minerals and barley supplements. The period of measurement had no effect on intake level. Under these conditions, llamas and sheep had the same hay intake in relation to their LW but the llamas ingested 14% more straw. Addition of barley had no effect on straw intake (table II).

Because of the tolerated level of refusal, the animals could be slightly selective in their intake. Surprisingly, llamas seemed to be more selective when offered hay, whereas sheep had a greater selectivity for straw (see table II), for the composition of refusals).

#### Digestibility

On average, Ilamas digested straw more efficiently (+ 3.8 units) particularly when no barley was added (+ 5.0). If the ability of the 2 species to digest the supplements is equal, then the presence of barley seemed to reduce the efficiency of Ilamas in digesting straw, which remains higher in this species. The digestibility of straw cell walls was 4.4 units higher in Ilamas and 5.7 units higher when there was no barley in the diet. The non-digestible nitrogen content was greater in the Ilamas (+ 3.5 g per kg ingested DM).

## Characteristics of reticulo-ruminal contents

The digesta contents of the fermentative compartments (compartments 1 and 2 for llamas and the reticulo-rumen for sheep) are given in table III, together with the amount of DM ingested the day before the fermentation compartments were emptied.

Before the main meal, the quantity of fresh and dry content accounted for respectively 16.5% and 1.8% of the LW in Ilamas and 12.3 and 1.3% in sheep, ie + 34 and + 42% in Ilamas. After the main meal the respective values were 19.1 and 2.4% in Ilamas and 18.2 and 2.2% in sheep, ie + 5 and + 6% in Ilamas. If the average amount of content is considered to be close to the half-total of the values observed before and after the main meal, it is possible to calculate the mean residence time of digesta in the

Table II. DM intake and comparison of diet digestibility.

		Diets for Ilamas	nas		Diets for sheep	dee		ASD	
	Нау	Straw + SC	Straw + SC + barley	Нау	Straw + SC	Straw + SC + barley	Llama	Sheep	Difference
Forage DM intake									
Total (g)	1 702	1 295	1 285	1 232	811	833	48	112	S
g/kg W <sup>0.75</sup>	54.5	42.2	13.1	17.4	11.4	33.7	0.71	1.83	SN S
Diet digestibility (%) Organic matter	1	52.4	55.8	1	50.2	57.6	2.4	2.8	SZ
Cell-wall correllia	1	47.8	43.9	1	42.5	41.4	3.3	1.5	S
Undigestible crude protein of diets (g/kg DM)	1	49	50	1	47	45	0.9	9.0	NS NS
Forage digestibility (%) Organic matter Cell-wall constituents	1 1	48.3	44.2	1.1	43.3	41.6	3.2	.4.1	ဟ ဟ
Composition of refusal (g/kg DM) Ash Crude protein	99	114	109	110	107	106	I	1	1
Cell-wall constituents	724	804	810	705	818	819	1 1	1 1	1

RSD: residual standard deviation; SC: soya cake; S: significant P < 0.05; NS: non-significant.

Table III. Digesta content in the reticulo-rumen (sheep) and in compartments 1 and 2 (llamas).

		Diets for Ilamas	amas		Diets for sheep	ер		RSD	
	Нау	Straw + SC	Straw + SC + barley	Нау	Straw + SC	Straw + SC + barley	Llamas	Sheep	Difference
Before the main meal Fresh content total (g) g/kg LW	16 802	15 622	16 066	9 506	7.775	8 877	1 205	295	တတ
Dry content total (g) g/kg LW	1 896	1 684	1 669	902	785	966	168	69 0.95	တ တ
Forage DM intake on previous day (g)	1 668	1 187	1 103	1 247	786	908	108	91	S
After the main meal Fresh content total (g) g/kg LW	18 689	18 545	18 774	12 973	12 386	13 393	271	992	s SN
Dry content total (g) g/kg LW	2 396 24.4	2 202 22.5	2 523 25.7	1 494 21.0	1 449 20.4	1 933 27.2	0.31	139	s SN
Forage DM intake on previous day (g)	1 704	1 206	1 122	1 269	873	915	52	121	S
Forage DM intake before emptying (g)	673	451	622	629	643	290	17	99	NS

RSD: residual standard deviation; SC: soya cake; S: significant P < 0.05; NS: non-significant.

reticulo-rumen. This time was 32 h in llamas and 25 h in sheep; the corresponding turn-over rates for DM were 3.07 and 3.95%/h for llamas and sheep respectively (table IV). For cell walls, the mean residence times in the rumen were 36 and 28 h, with turnover rates of 2.78 and 3.67%/h in llamas and sheep, respectively.

The increased retention time in llamas, by comparison to sheep, was accompanied by a higher proportion of the cell walls in the digesta both before the meal (735 vs 712 g/kg DM) and after it (735 vs 700 g/kg DM) (table V). In llamas, the pH of digesta was 7.11 and 7.07 before and after the main meal. These values were higher and more stable than in sheep (6.78 and 6.56) (table V). In addition, the ammonia nitrogen content after the main meal was higher in sheep, probably because they had a greater proportion of soya cake than llamas in the diets.

It is also interesting to note that the DM content of the rumen digesta was increased in llamas when they received the hay diet

(120 for llamas vs 104 g DM/kg of content for sheep). This increase was accompanied by a slightly higher osmotic presure in llamas (287 vs 268 m osm/ml).

For the straw diets, there was a significant difference between the 2 species in the distribution of particles according to their size. The amounts of fecal particles ≥ 1 mm found per 100 g of fecal DM were 3.4 g in the llamas and 1.5 g in the sheep.

#### DISCUSSION

Although the number of animals used in this preliminary trial was rather small, the first findings of this comparative study include various interesting points, especially because the literature concerning this subject is scarce.

In relation to their LW, the llamas had a DM intake comparable to that of sheep, as previously observed by Warmington et al (1989). The results of Cordesse et al (1992), who reported lower DM intake for llamas,

Table IV. Turnover rate of reticulo-rumen contents.

Hay	Straw	Straw + SC + barley	Hay	Straw	Straw + SC + barley
1 686	1 331	1 562	1 258	965	1 360
2 146	1 943	2 096	1 198	1 117	1 450
3.27	2.85	3.10	4.34	3.60	3.91
30	35	32	23	28	26
1 190	935	923	888	654	773
1 606	1 436	1 503	880	780	980
3.08	2.71	2.56	4.20	3.49	3.29
32	37	39	24	29	30
	1 606 3.08	1 606 1 436 3.08 2.71	1 606 1 436 1 503 3.08 2.71 2.56	1 606     1 436     1 503     880       3.08     2.71     2.56     4.20	1 606     1 436     1 503     880     780       3.08     2.71     2.56     4.20     3.49

SC: soya cake. NDF: neutral detergent fiber.

Table V. Characteristics of reticulo-rumen contents.

		Diets for Ilamas	mas		Diets for sheep	də		RSD	
	Нау	Straw + SC	Straw + SC + barley	Нау	Straw + SC	Straw + SC + barley	Llamas	Sheep	Difference
Before main meal									
DM content (g/kg)	113	108	103	93	100	108	m	7.8	NS
Ash content (g/kg DM)	26	171	173	66	173	154	3.5	7.2	NS
Cell-wall constituents (g/kg DM)	746	741	719	722	200	715	13	14	S
Osmotic pressure (m osm/ml)	280	249	277	256	244	268	8	2	NS
Ha	7.09	7.18	7.06	6.73	6.85	6.75	0.11	0.12	S
Ammonia-nitrogen (N-NH <sub>3</sub> ) (mg/l)	158	142	156	123	192	189	Ξ	22	NS
After main meal									
DM content (g/kg)	128	119	134	115	118	144	1.2	5.3	NS
Ash content (g/kg DM)	93	165	157	89	165	143	2	9.9	NS
Cell-wall constituents (g/kg DM)	750	738	716	742	869	657	14	17	ഗ
Osmotic pressure (m osm/ml)	295	270	300	282	268	288	8	12	NS
I	7 05	7 11	7.04	6.51	888	6 2 9	0.19	60.0	C.
	2				000	2000	) L	000	) (
Ammonia-nitrogen (N-NH <sub>3</sub> ) (mg/l) 156	156	202	215	149	282	305	15	38	n

RSD: residual standard deviation; SC: soya cake; S: significant P < 0.05; NS: non-significant.

were obtained with specific forages that possibly were unpalatable to these animals because of the high nitrogen content (as observed in another camelid, the dromedary). Further studies are therefore needed to have reliable comparative data between animals. Neither sheep nor llamas modified their straw intake when concentrates were added to the diet. The same observation was made in sheep when concentrates were limited 30% of total DM intake (Dulphy et al, 1983).

In terms of diet digestibility, however, Ilamas seem to be more efficient than sheep, which is consistent with other published results. It remains to be confirmed if this increased efficiency persists when starch is added to the diet. The observation is surprising, however, since it has been shown that Ilamas can regulate the pH of their forestomach content very efficiently (Dardillat et al, 1994). It is likely that this negative effect comes from the starch itself since it was observed in both animal species.

We have no direct comparisons between water intake in Ilamas and sheep. Data obtained from llamas were on average 2.87 l/kg DM intake. They were higher than the value of 2.1 l/kg DM reported by Warmington et al (1989). There are no published observations on the comparison of intake behaviour of llamas and sheep. We observed an ingestion time of 335 min/d and rumination time of 520 min/d for llamas fed hay and straw. The values for hay and straw were nearly identical. A striking observation is the low frequency of rumination periods, 6.3/d, and thus the extended length of each period. Therefore a comparative study involving sheep would be novel and of interest.

In relation to their live weight or their intake, llamas had greater digesta content than sheep in their 2 first forestomachs. Dardillat et al (1994) obtained a comparable result in relation to the DM intake. Under

these conditions, there is probably an increased retention time of DM, which would largely explain the greater digestibility of straw in llamas. This higher ability to digest straw was previously observed by Kayouli et al (1993b) in dromedaries. This difference in digestibility could also be explained by greater cellulolytic activity of the ruminal microbes in llamas (Kayouli et al, 1993a). However, further studies are required in which the 2 mechanisms will be investigated simultaneously.

In this study, the maximum capacity of the 2 forestomachs were comparable for both species. The main physico-chemical difference was a higher pH in llamas, a finding that contradicts the results of Vallenas and Stevens (1971), but is consistent with the observation that llamas buffer their stomach contents better than sheep (Dardillat et al, 1994). Osmotic pressure was always higher in llamas, particularly those fed diets with hay and straw with barley. At pH 7, buffers must be important to neutralise volatile fatty acids, which are in an ionic form near neutrality. These 2 factors can explain the higher osmotic pressure.

Similar to results reported by Warmington et al (1989), a higher proportion of large particles were found in the faeces of llamas than in those of sheep. However, in relation to the DM excreted in the faeces, the amount found really excreted per day was not different, which is further evidence that llamas, like other small ruminants, are efficient in reducing feed into small particles.

Although further studies are needed, the ability of llamas to use low-quality roughage, observed by Warmington et al (1989), was confirmed by this study. A more comprehensive understanding of digestive and physiological mechanisms involved would be of help in research on how to improve the use of roughage by all ruminants.

#### REFERENCES

- Chiofalo V, Dulphy JP, Baumont R (1992) Influence of the method of forage conservation on feeding behaviour, intake and characteristics of reticulorumen content, in sheep fed ad libitum. Reprod Nutr Develop 32, 377-392
- Cordesse R, Inesta M, Gaubert JL (1992) Intake and digestibility of four forages by Ilamas and sheep. Ann Zootech 41, 91-92
- Dardillat C, Baumont R, Jouany JP (1994) Contenus gastriques du lama et du mouton. Ann Zootech 43, 279
- Dulphy JP, Breton J, Louyot JM, Bienaimé A (1983) Étude de la valeur alimentaire des pailles de céréales traitées ou non à la soude. III. Influence du niveau d'apport d'aliment concentré. Ann Zootech 32, 53-80
- Dulphy JP, Jouany JP, Martin-Rosset W, Theriez M (1994) Aptitudes comparées de différentes espèces d'herbivores domestiques à ingérer et digérer des fourrages distribués à l'auge. Ann Zootech 43, 11-32
- Engelhardt WV, Höller H (1982) Salivary and gastric physiology of camelids. Verh Dtsch Zool Ges 195-204

- Hintz HF, Schryver HF, Halbert M (1973) A note on the comparison of digestion by New World camels, sheep and ponies. *Anim Prod* 16, 303-305
- Kayouli C, Dardillat C, Jouany JP (1993a) Comparative study of the muralytic activity of rumen microbes measured in situ in llamas and sheep. Ann Zootech 42, 184
- Kayouli C, Jouany JP, Demeyer DI, Ali-Ali, Taoueb H, Dardillat C (1993b) Comparative studies on the degradation and mean retention time of solid and liquid phases in the forestomachs of dromedaries and sheep fed on low-quality roughages from Tunisia.

  Anim Feed Sci Technol 40, 343-355
- Ruckebusch Y (1963) Recherches sur la régulation centrale du comportement alimentaire chez les ruminants. Thèse Université de Lyon, 213 p
- SAS Institute (1985) SAS Users'guide. SAS Institute Inc, USA
- Vallenas A, Stevens CE (1971) Volatile fatty acid concentrations and pH of Ilama and guanaco forestomach digesta. Cornell Vet 61, 239-252
- Warmington BG, Wilson GF, Barry TN (1989) Voluntary intake and digestion of rye-grass straw by llama x guanaco crossbreds and sheep. J Agric Sci Camb 113, 87-91